

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/508,895	09/24/2004	John Cumming	06275-418US1	7836	
26164 7	590 12/08/2005		EXAM	INER	
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. P.O BOX 1022			PERLINGER, SARAH E		
	IS, MN 55440-1022		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		1625		

DATE MAILED: 12/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1	Application No.	Ar	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			10/508,895	С	CUMMING, JOHN				
		Ī	Examiner	Ar	t Unit				
		;	Sarah E. Perlinger	16	525				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic r Reply	cation appea	ars on the cover sh	eet with the corre	espondence ad	dress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commuperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply epity received by the Office later than three months afted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DAT of 37 CFR 1.136( onication. outory period will oill, by statute, ca	E OF THIS COMN  a). In no event, however,  apply and will expire SIX (  ause the application to bec	MUNICATION. may a reply be timely fi 6) MONTHS from the nome ABANDONED (3)	filed mailing date of this ⇔ 5 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on 24 Sep	tember 2004.						
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
٠,٠	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10, 13</u> is/are pending in the application.								
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
•	Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
• —	Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 13</u> are subject to re	estriction ar	nd/or election requi	irement.					
	on Papers		,						
	•								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>									
2) Notice 3) Information	t(s)  te of References Cited (PTO-892)  te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Pomation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Item No(s)/Mail Date		Pap 5)	erview Summary (PT eer No(s)/Mail Date. ice of Informal Pater er:	·	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/508,895 Page 2

Art Unit: 1625

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. A preliminary amendment was filed dated September 24, 2004. Claims 11-12 have been cancelled. Claims 1-10 and 13 are pending.

2. Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

- I. Claims 1-8, 10,13 drawn to a product which is a piperidine compound, (compound of formula I when A=absent) a process for preparing the piperidine compound, and methods of using the compound classified as class 546, subclass 184.
- II. Claims 1-10, 13 drawn to a product which is a tropane compound, (compound of formula I when A=CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>) a process for preparing the tropane compound, and methods of using the compound classified as class 546, subclass 124.

The inventions listed as Groups I-II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The inventions listed as Groups do not relate to a single general inventive concept under 35 USC 121 or PCT rule 13.1 because:

**PCT Rule 13.1** states that the international application shall relate to one invention only or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept ("requirement of unity of invention").

- **PCT Rule 13.2** states that the unity of invention referred to in Rule 13.1 shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features.
- Annex B, Part 1(a), indicates that the application should relate to only one invention, of if there is more than one invention, inclusion is permitted if they are so linked to form a single inventive concept.
- Annex B, Part 1(b), indicates that "special technical features" means those technical features that as a whole define a contribution over the prior art.

Application/Control Number: 10/508,895

Art Unit: 1625

Annex B, Part 1(c), further defines independent and dependent claims. Unity of invention is concerned only in relation to independent claims. Dependent claims are defined as a claim that contains all the features of another claim and is in the same category as the other claim. The category of a claim refers to the classification of claims according to subject matter e.g. product, process, use, apparatus, means, etc.

Annex B, Part 1(e), indicates the permissible combinations of different categories of claims. Part 1(e)I, states that inclusion of an independent claim for a given product, an independent claim for a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and an independent claim for a use of the said product is permissible.

Annex B, Part 1(f), indicates the "Markush practice" of alternatives in a single claim. Part 1(f)I, indicates the technical relationship and the same or corresponding special technical feature is considered to be met when (A) all alternatives have a common property or activity, and (B) a common structure is present or all alternatives belong to a recognized class of chemical compounds. Further defining (B), Annex B, Part 1(f)(i-iii), the common structure must; a) occupy a large portion of their structure, or b) the common structure constitutes a structurally distinctive portion, or c) where the structures are equivalent and therefore a recognized class of chemical compounds, each member could be substituted for one another with the same intended result. That is, with a common or equivalent structure, there is an expectation relationship and the corresponding special technical feature results from a common (or equivalent) structure that is responsible for the common activity (or property). Part 1 (f)iv, indicates that when all alternatives of a Markush grouping can be differently classified, it shall no, take alone, be considered justification for finding lack of unity. Part 1(f)v, indicates that, "When dealing with alternatives, if it can be shown that at least one Markush alternative is not novel over the prior art, the question of unity of invention shall be reconsidered by the examiner."

In the instant case, Astrazenaca AB WO 02/076948 anticipates group I (see X reference on PCT search report). In the instant amended claims, at least one Markush alternative is not novel because prior art by Astrazenaca AB, WO 02/076948, claims 1-6, 8-12 discloses the products of Group I, a pharmaceutical composition comprising products of Group I, the process of making products of Group I, and methods of using products of Group I. Thus the lacking of unity of invention has been found.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the groups are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the groups to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention. In the instant case, applicant will not have patentability over any of the claims because there is anticipation for group I.

Application/Control Number: 10/508,895

Art Unit: 1625

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48 (b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Sarah E. Perlinger, whose telephone number is (571) 272-5574. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:30 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ms. Cecilia Tsang, can be reached at (571) 272-0562. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

11/29/05

Celia Chang Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1625